### 4F5: Advanced Wireless Communications

#### Handout 2: Review of Channel Capacity

Jossy Sayir

Signal Processing and Communications Lab Department of Engineering University of Cambridge jossy.sayir@eng.cam.ac.uk

Lent 2012

© Jossy Sayir (CUED)

Advanced Wireless Communications

Lent 2012 1 / 32

## Reminder: Basic Block Diagram

...with some more detail (digital communications)



### **Definition (Kelly)**

A *channel* is that part of the communication system that one is either unwilling or unable to change.

# Outline

Definitions and Properties

### 2 Channel Coding

3 Converse Proof:  $R > C, P_e \rightarrow 0$ 

Achievability Proof:  $R < C, P_e \rightarrow 0$ 



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

### Entropy, Divergence

Let the random variables X, Y take value in the sets  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ . We define (in bits)

Entropy / Uncertainty

$$H(X) = H(P_X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \log_2 P_X(x) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2 P_X(X)]$$

Divergence / Relative Entropy / Kullback-Leibler "Distance"

$$D(P_X || Q_X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \log_2 \frac{P_X(x)}{Q_X(x)} = \mathbb{E}\left[ \log_2 \frac{P_X(X)}{Q_X(X)} \right]$$

#### Entropy, Divergence

Let the random variables X, Y take value in the sets  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ . We define (in bits)

Entropy / Uncertainty

$$H(X) = H(P_X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \log_2 P_X(x) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2 P_X(X)]$$

Divergence / Relative Entropy / Kullback-Leibler "Distance"

$$D(P_X || Q_X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \log_2 \frac{P_X(x)}{Q_X(x)} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \log_2 \frac{P_X(X)}{Q_X(X)} \right]$$

#### Joint Entropy, Conditional Entropy and Mutual Information

Let the random variables X, Y take value in the sets  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ . We define (in bits)

Joint Entropy

$$H(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(P_{XY}) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2 P_{X,Y}(X, Y)]$$

Conditional Entropy (conditioned on an event)

$$H(X|Y=y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(P_{X|Y=y}) = -\mathbb{E}\left[\log_2 P_{X|Y}(X|y)\right]$$

Conditional Entropy/Equivocation

$$H(X|Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{y} P_Y(y) H(X|Y=y) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2 P_{X|Y}(X|Y)]$$

Mutual Information

$$I(X; Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$
$$= D(P_{XY}||P_XP_Y) = \mathbb{E}\left[\log_2 \frac{P_{X,Y}(X,Y)}{P_X(X)P_Y(Y)}\right]$$

#### Joint Entropy, Conditional Entropy and Mutual Information

Let the random variables X, Y take value in the sets  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ . We define (in bits)

Joint Entropy

$$H(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(P_{XY}) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2 P_{X,Y}(X, Y)]$$

Conditional Entropy (conditioned on an event)

$$H(X|Y = y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(P_{X|Y=y}) = -\mathbb{E}\left[\log_2 P_{X|Y}(X|y)\right]$$

Conditional Entropy/Equivocation

$$H(X|Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{y} P_Y(y) H(X|Y=y) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2 P_{X|Y}(X|Y)]$$

Mutual Information

$$I(X; Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$
$$= D(P_{XY}||P_XP_Y) = \mathbb{E}\left[\log_2 \frac{P_{X,Y}(X,Y)}{P_X(X)P_Y(Y)}\right]$$

#### Joint Entropy, Conditional Entropy and Mutual Information

Let the random variables X, Y take value in the sets  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ . We define (in bits)

Joint Entropy

$$H(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(P_{XY}) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2 P_{X,Y}(X, Y)]$$

Conditional Entropy (conditioned on an event)

$$H(X|Y = y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(P_{X|Y=y}) = -\mathbb{E}\left[\log_2 P_{X|Y}(X|y)\right]$$

Conditional Entropy/Equivocation

$$H(X|Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{y} P_Y(y) H(X|Y=y) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2 P_{X|Y}(X|Y)]$$

Mutual Information

$$I(X; Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$
$$= D(P_{XY}||P_XP_Y) = \mathbb{E}\left[\log_2 \frac{P_{X,Y}(X,Y)}{P_X(X)P_Y(Y)}\right]$$

### Joint Entropy, Conditional Entropy and Mutual Information

Let the random variables X, Y take value in the sets  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ . We define (in bits)

Joint Entropy

$$H(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(P_{XY}) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2 P_{X,Y}(X, Y)]$$

Conditional Entropy (conditioned on an event)

$$H(X|Y=y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(P_{X|Y=y}) = -\mathbb{E}\left[\log_2 P_{X|Y}(X|y)\right]$$

Conditional Entropy/Equivocation

$$H(X|Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{y} P_Y(y) H(X|Y=y) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2 P_{X|Y}(X|Y)]$$

Mutual Information

$$I(X; Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$
$$= D(P_{XY} || P_X P_Y) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \log_2 \frac{P_{X,Y}(X,Y)}{P_X(X) P_Y(Y)} \right]$$

### Properties of Entropy, Mutual Information and Relative Entropy

Chain rules

$$H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$$
  
$$I(X_1, X_2; Y) = I(X_1; Y) + I(X_2; Y|X_1)$$

where  $I(X_2; Y|X_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(X_2|X_1) - H(X_2|X_1Y).$ 

Positiveness

entropy:  $H(X) \ge 0$ , with equality iff X is deterministic implies positiveness of conditional entropy. relative entropy:  $D(P_X || Q_X) \ge 0$ , with equality iff  $P_X = Q_X$ implies  $I(X; Y) \ge 0$  (equality iff X and Y are independent). Conditioning reduces entropy  $H(X|Y) \le H(X)$ Maximum entropy

 $H(X) \leq \log |\mathcal{X}|, \quad$  with equality iff X is uniform

## Properties of Entropy, Mutual Information and Relative Entropy

Chain rules

$$H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$$
  
$$I(X_1, X_2; Y) = I(X_1; Y) + I(X_2; Y|X_1)$$

where  $I(X_2; Y|X_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(X_2|X_1) - H(X_2|X_1Y).$ 

Positiveness

**entropy:**  $H(X) \ge 0$ , with equality iff X is deterministic

implies positiveness of conditional entropy.

relative entropy:  $D(P_X||Q_X) \ge 0$ , with equality iff  $P_X = Q_X$ 

implies  $I(X; Y) \ge 0$  (equality iff X and Y are independent).

Conditioning reduces entropy

 $H(X|Y) \le H(X)$ 

Maximum entropy

 $H(X) \leq \log |\mathcal{X}|$ , with equality iff X is uniform

## Properties of Entropy, Mutual Information and Relative Entropy

Chain rules

$$H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$$
  
$$I(X_1, X_2; Y) = I(X_1; Y) + I(X_2; Y|X_1)$$

where  $I(X_2; Y|X_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(X_2|X_1) - H(X_2|X_1Y).$ 

Positiveness

**entropy:**  $H(X) \ge 0$ , with equality iff X is deterministic

implies positiveness of conditional entropy.

**relative entropy:**  $D(P_X||Q_X) \ge 0$ , with equality iff  $P_X = Q_X$ 

implies  $I(X; Y) \ge 0$  (equality iff X and Y are independent).

Conditioning reduces entropy

 $H(X|Y) \leq H(X)$ 

Maximum entropy

 $H(X) \leq \log |\mathcal{X}|$ , with equality iff X is uniform

## Properties of Entropy, Mutual Information and Relative Entropy

Chain rules

$$H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$$
  
$$I(X_1, X_2; Y) = I(X_1; Y) + I(X_2; Y|X_1)$$

where  $I(X_2; Y|X_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(X_2|X_1) - H(X_2|X_1Y).$ 

Positiveness

**entropy:**  $H(X) \ge 0$ , with equality iff X is deterministic

implies positiveness of conditional entropy.

relative entropy:  $D(P_X||Q_X) \ge 0$ , with equality iff  $P_X = Q_X$ 

implies  $I(X; Y) \ge 0$  (equality iff X and Y are independent).

Conditioning reduces entropy

 $H(X|Y) \leq H(X)$ 

Maximum entropy

 $H(X) \leq \log |\mathcal{X}|$ , with equality iff X is uniform

### Data Processing Inequality

Let  $X \to Y \to Z$  form a Markov chain (i.e.,  $P_{XZ|Y} = P_{X|Y}P_{Z|Y}$ ). Then

 $I(X; Z) \leq I(X; Y)$ 



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### **Channel Definitions**

- Channel input X over alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- Channel output Y over alphabet  $\mathcal{Y}$ .
- Sequence of transition probabilities

$$\{P_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(y_1,\ldots,y_n|x_1,\ldots,x_n): n=1,2,\ldots\}$$

• Memoryless channel: for  $\textbf{\textit{x}} \in \mathcal{X}^{\textit{n}}, \textbf{\textit{y}} \in \mathcal{Y}^{\textit{n}}$ 

$$P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{Y|X}(y_i|x_i)$$

• Discrete Memoryless Channel ( $|\mathcal{X}|, |\mathcal{Y}| < \infty$ ) defined by transition matrix  $\textbf{\textit{P}}$ 

$$[\mathbf{P}]_{i,j} = \Pr(Y = i | X = j)$$



## **Channel Coding Definitions**

A channel coding scheme, or block code, is defined by

- A codebook  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^n$ ;
- a uniformly distributed message  $m \in \mathcal{M} = \{1, \dots, |\mathcal{M}|\}$  (note that  $|\mathcal{C}| \leq |\mathcal{M}|$ );
- the sequences  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{C}$  are called codewords;
- the coding rate  $R = \frac{1}{n} \log_2 |\mathcal{M}|$  (bits/channel use);
- an encoding function φ : M → C such that φ(m) = x<sub>m</sub> is the codeword corresponding to message m ∈ M;
- a decoding function φ : 𝒱<sup>n</sup> → 𝓜 such that φ(𝒴) = m̂ maps the received sequence to an estimated information message.



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

#### Example

Consider a binary ( $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$ ) code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length n = 4 defined as  $\mathcal{C} = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}$ The rate of the code is  $R = \frac{1}{4} \log_2 |\mathcal{M}| = \frac{1}{4} \log_2 4 = \frac{1}{2}$ . The message set  $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  can be represented by 2 bits. Hence the encoder has as input 2 bits

and outputs 4 bits (adds redundancy).

#### Error Probability

The average message (or codeword) error probability of the code  ${\mathcal C}$  is defined as

$$P_{e} \triangleq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{M}|} P_{e}(m) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{y:\varphi(y)\neq m} P_{Y|X}(y|\mathbf{x}_{m} = \phi(m))$$

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

#### Example

Consider a binary ( $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$ ) code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length n = 4 defined as  $\mathcal{C} = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}$ The rate of the code is  $R = \frac{1}{4} \log_2 |\mathcal{M}| = \frac{1}{4} \log_2 4 = \frac{1}{2}$ . The message set  $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  can be represented by 2 bits. Hence the encoder has as input 2 bits

and outputs 4 bits (adds redundancy).

#### **Error Probability**

The average message (or codeword) error probability of the code  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  is defined as

$$P_{e} \triangleq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{M}|} P_{e}(m) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{\mathbf{y}: \varphi(\mathbf{y}) \neq m} P_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{m} = \phi(m))$$

・ロン ・四 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

### Achievable Rates and Capacity

A rate *R* is said to be achievable if there exist codes *C* of length *n* equipped with encoding and decoding functions φ, φ such that, for every ε > 0 and every n ≥ n<sub>ε</sub> (for some n<sub>ε</sub>),

$$rac{1}{n}\log_2|\mathcal{M}|\geq R$$
 and  $P_e\leq\epsilon$ 

- The channel capacity C is defined as the supremum of all achievable rates.
- Thus, for transmission rates R < C there exist coding schemes with arbitrarily small error probability (for sufficiently large block length), while for R > C there exist no such schemes.

### Theorem (Shannon's noisy channel coding theorem)

The channel capacity for a memoryless channel  $P_{Y|X}(\cdot)$  is given by

$$C = \max_{P_X(\cdot)} I(X; Y)$$

where the maximisation is over all probability distributions on the channel input X.

# **Channel Capacity**

## Example

BSC

BEC

$$C = 1 - H_b(p), \quad P_X^*(0) = P_X^*(1) = \frac{1}{2}$$
  
 $C = 1 - p, \quad P_X^*(0) = P_X^*(1) = \frac{1}{2}$ 



© Jossy Sayir (CUED)

Lent 2012 13 / 32

# **Channel Capacity**

### Example (AWGN Channel)

• AWGN channel with noise power  $\sigma^2$  and input power constraint *P*, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}_{Y|X}(y|x) = rac{1}{\pi\sigma^2} e^{-rac{|y-x|^2}{\sigma^2}}, \ x,y\in\mathbb{C} \ ext{ and } \ \mathbb{E}[|X|^2]\leq \mathcal{P}$$

Capacity is

$$C = \log_2(1 + \text{SNR}), \text{ SNR} = \frac{P}{\sigma^2} \qquad P_X^*(x) = \frac{1}{\pi P} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{P}}$$

• Gaussian inputs are not practical; we commonly resort to modulations such as PSK/QAM, assuming  $P_X(x) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}, x \in \mathcal{X}$ :

$$I(X; Y) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{E}\left[\log_2 \frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x)}{\frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \sum_{x' \in \mathcal{X}} P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}\right]$$

#### Channel Capacity AWGN Channel



▶ Ξ - クへへ Lent 2012 15/32

# **Channel Capacity**

### **Computer Exercise**

Simulate the mutual information curves for BPSK, QPSK, 8-PSK and 16-QAM.

• Let 
$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}|y-x|^2}, x, y \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- For each SNR value
  - calculate the expectation over X as  $\frac{1}{M} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} X$
  - calculate the expectation over Y|X by randomly generating noise samples  $\sim N_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \sigma^2)$ and average Montecarlo
- end for
- TIP: Normalise your constellations in energy, i.e.,  $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |x|^2 = 1$

### Converse: $R > C, P_e \nrightarrow 0$

#### Converse part of Shannon's Noisy Coding Theorem

- We will show that rates *R* > *C* are not achievable, i.e., if *R* > *C* then *P<sub>e</sub>* does not tend to zero as *n* → ∞.
- In other words, in order to have  $P_e \rightarrow 0$  we must have that  $R \leq C$ .
- The proof is based on Fano's inequality and the Data Processing Inequality.
- *Fano's inequality* relates probability of error and equivocation. We will derive it in two different manners over the next few slides.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

$$\xrightarrow{X} P_{Y|X}(\cdot) \xrightarrow{Y} g(\cdot) \xrightarrow{\hat{X}}$$

### Error Probability and Equivocation

- Suppose we guess X from the observation Y. Let the guess be  $\hat{X} = g(Y)$ .
- Conditional probability of error (conditioned on *Y* = *y*):

$$P_e(y) = \mathsf{Pr}(X 
eq \hat{X} | Y = y) = \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X}: \ x 
eq g(y)}} P_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

Probability of error:

$$P_e = P(X \neq \hat{X}) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) P_e(y)$$

Equivocation:

$$H(X|Y) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) H(X|Y=y)$$

© Jossy Sayir (CUED)

Maximising H(X|Y = y) for a given  $P_e(y)$ 

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{e}(y) &= \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X}: \ x 
eq g(y)}} \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(x|y) \ &= 1 - \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(g(y)|y) \ &\geq 1 - \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(x|y) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , the probability of error  $P_e(y)$  is minimised for

$$\hat{x} = g(y) = \arg \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(x|y),$$

for which  $\max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(x|y) = 1 - P_e$ .

- Maximising H(X|Y = y) for a given P<sub>e</sub>(y) is equivalent to maximising over all distributions for which max<sub>x∈X</sub> P<sub>X|Y</sub>(x|y) = 1 − P<sub>e</sub>
- Entropy is maximised by the distribution that is uniform over the remaining symbols in the alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Maximising H(X|Y = y) for a given  $P_e(y)$ 

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{e}(y) &= \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X}: \ x 
eq g(y)}} \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(x|y) \ &= 1 - \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(g(y)|y) \ &\geq 1 - \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(x|y) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , the probability of error  $P_e(y)$  is minimised for

$$\hat{x} = g(y) = \arg \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(x|y),$$

for which  $\max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(x|y) = 1 - P_e$ .

Maximising H(X|Y = y) for a given P<sub>e</sub>(y) is equivalent to maximising over all distributions for which max<sub>x∈X</sub> P<sub>X|Y</sub>(x|y) = 1 − P<sub>e</sub>

• Entropy is maximised by the distribution that is uniform over the remaining symbols in the alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Maximising H(X|Y = y) for a given  $P_e(y)$ 

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}_{e}(y) = \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X}: \ x 
eq g(y)}} \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(x|y) \ & = 1 - \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(g(y)|y) \ & \geq 1 - \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(x|y) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , the probability of error  $P_e(y)$  is minimised for

$$\hat{x} = g(y) = \arg \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(x|y),$$

for which  $\max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(x|y) = 1 - P_e$ .

- Maximising H(X|Y = y) for a given P<sub>e</sub>(y) is equivalent to maximising over all distributions for which max<sub>x∈X</sub> P<sub>X|Y</sub>(x|y) = 1 − P<sub>e</sub>
- Entropy is maximised by the distribution that is uniform over the remaining symbols in the alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日



Upper Bound (conditioned on Y = y)

$$H(X|Y = y) \le -(1 - P_e(y))\log_2(1 - P_e(y)) - (|\mathcal{X}| - 1)\frac{P_e(y)}{|\mathcal{X}| - 1}\log_2\frac{P_e(y)}{|\mathcal{X}| - 1} = H_b(P_e(y)) + P_e\log_2(|\mathcal{X}| - 1)$$
(1)

Upper Bound (averaged over Y)

$$\begin{aligned} H(X|Y) &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) H(X|Y=y) \\ &\leq \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) \big[ H_b(P_e(y)) + P_e(y) \log_2(|\mathcal{X}|-1) \big] \quad \text{using (??)} \\ &\leq H_b \left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) P_e(y) \right) + \log_2(|\mathcal{X}|-1) \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) P_e(y) \\ &= H_b(P_e) + P_e \log_2(|\mathcal{X}|-1) \end{aligned}$$

where the third step follows by the concavity of  $H_b(\cdot)$  an by Jensen's inequality.

 $\implies$  This can be weakened to  $H(X|Y) \leq 1 + P_e \log_2 |\mathcal{X}|$ .

# Standard proof of Fano's Inequality

The following alternative proof is much simpler but gives less insight than the one stated previously:

• Let *E* be an indicator random variable whose value is 0 if  $\hat{X} = X$  and 1 if  $\hat{X} \neq X$ . Note that  $P_E(1) = P_e$  and  $H(E) = H_b(P_e)$ .

We have

$$\begin{split} H(X|Y) &= H(X, E|Y) - H(E|X, Y) & \text{chain rule for entropies} \\ &= H(X, E|Y) & \text{because } Y \text{ and } X \text{ determine } E \\ &= H(E|Y) + H(X|Y, E) & \text{chain rule for entropies} \\ &\leq H(E) + P_E(0)H(X|Y, E = 0) + P_E(1)H(X|Y, E = 1) \\ &\leq H_b(P_e) + P_e \log_2(|\mathcal{X}| - 1) \end{split}$$

Here the fourth step follows because conditioning reduces entropy, and the last step follows because

given E = 0, g(Y) = X̂ determines X, which implies that H(X|Y, E = 0) = 0
 given Y and E = 1, X can take on at most |X| - 1 values. Hence, its entropy can be at most log<sub>2</sub>(|X| - 1).



Lent 2012 23 / 32

A B > A B >

Converse Proof:  $R > C, P_e \nrightarrow 0$ 

#### Proof of the converse part of Shannon's Noisy Coding Theorem

- Consider the above communications system. We have the Markov chain  $m \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \hat{m}$ , where  $\mathbf{X} = \phi(m)$  and  $\hat{m} = \varphi(\mathbf{Y})$ .
- $\bullet\,$  If m is drawn uniformly from the message set  $\mathcal{M},$  then we have

$$\begin{split} nR &= H(\mathbf{m}) & H(\mathbf{m}) = \log |\mathcal{M}| = nR \\ &= H(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{Y}) + I(\mathbf{m};\mathbf{Y}) & I(\mathbf{m};\mathbf{Y}) = H(\mathbf{m}) - H(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{Y}) \\ &\leq 1 + P_e nR + I(\mathbf{m};\mathbf{Y}) & \text{by Fano's inequality} \\ &\leq 1 + P_e nR + I(\mathbf{X};\mathbf{Y}) & \text{by Data Processing Inequality} \\ &\leq 1 + P_e nR + \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_i;Y_i) & \text{because channel is memoryles} \\ &\leq 1 + P_e nR + nC \end{split}$$

where  $C = \max_{P_X(\cdot)} I(X; Y)$ .

Rewriting the above equation yields

$$P_{e} \geq 1 - rac{C}{R} - rac{1}{nR}$$

Thus, if R > C, then  $P_e$  does not tend to zero as  $n \to \infty$ .

#### Achievability Proof

- We show that rates R < C are achievable, i.e., if R < C then  $P_e \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- Codebook construction: generate codewords at random from a particular distribution. We will consider the case where the entries of each of the  $|\mathcal{M}|$  codewords have been generated i.i.d. from  $P_X(\cdot)$ , i.e.,  $P_X(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_X(x_i)$ .



#### Achievability Proof

• We study maximum likelihood decoding, i.e., the decoder choses the message that maximises the likelihood of having been transmitted

 $\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \arg\max_{\mathcal{C}} P_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{m}})$ 

- We study the average error probability over the ensemble of random codes, denoted by  $\bar{P}_e = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{M}|} \bar{P}_e(m)$
- Given the symmetry (random codewords),  $\bar{P}_e = \bar{P}_e(m)$  for any  $m \in \mathcal{M}$
- Averaged over the random code ensemble, we have that

$$P_{e}(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbb{E}[\Pr \left\{\varphi(\mathbf{Y}) \neq \mathbf{m} | \mathbf{X}_{m}, \mathbf{Y}\right\}]$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} P_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}_{m}) P_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{m}) \Pr \left\{\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \neq \mathbf{m} | \mathbf{x}_{m}, \mathbf{y}\right\}$$

where  $\Pr \{\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \neq m | \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}\}$  is the probability that, for a channel output  $\mathbf{y}$ , the decoder  $\varphi$  selects a codeword other than the transmitted  $\mathbf{x}_m$ 

#### Achievability Proof

• We study maximum likelihood decoding, i.e., the decoder choses the message that maximises the likelihood of having been transmitted

 $\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \arg\max_{\mathcal{C}} P_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{m}})$ 

- We study the average error probability over the ensemble of random codes, denoted by  $\bar{P}_e = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{M}|} \bar{P}_e(m)$
- Given the symmetry (random codewords),  $\bar{P}_e = \bar{P}_e(m)$  for any  $m \in \mathcal{M}$
- Averaged over the random code ensemble, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{e}(\mathbf{m}) &= \mathbb{E}[\Pr\left\{\varphi(\mathbf{Y}) \neq \mathbf{m} | \mathbf{X}_{\mathsf{m}}, \mathbf{Y}\right\}] \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{m}}} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} P_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{m}}) P_{\mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{m}}) \Pr\left\{\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \neq \mathbf{m} | \mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \mathbf{y}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Pr \{\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \neq m | \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}\}$  is the probability that, for a channel output  $\mathbf{y}$ , the decoder  $\varphi$  selects a codeword other than the transmitted  $\mathbf{x}_m$ 

#### Achievability Proof

 We study maximum likelihood decoding, i.e., the decoder choses the message that maximises the likelihood of having been transmitted

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \arg \max_{\mathcal{C}} P_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{m}})$$

- We study the average error probability over the ensemble of random codes, denoted by  $\bar{P}_e = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{M}|} \bar{P}_e(m)$
- Given the symmetry (random codewords),  $\bar{P}_e = \bar{P}_e(m)$  for any  $m \in \mathcal{M}$
- Averaged over the random code ensemble, we have that

$$P_{e}(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbb{E}[\Pr \left\{\varphi(\mathbf{Y}) \neq \mathbf{m} | \mathbf{X}_{m}, \mathbf{Y}\right\}]$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} P_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}_{m}) P_{\mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}_{m}) \Pr \left\{\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \neq \mathbf{m} | \mathbf{x}_{m}, \mathbf{y}\right\}$$

where  $\Pr \{\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \neq m | \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}\}$  is the probability that, for a channel output  $\mathbf{y}$ , the decoder  $\varphi$  selects a codeword other than the transmitted  $\mathbf{x}_m$ 

・ロン ・四 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

### Achievability Proof

• We study maximum likelihood decoding, i.e., the decoder choses the message that maximises the likelihood of having been transmitted

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \arg \max_{\mathcal{C}} P_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{m}})$$

- We study the average error probability over the ensemble of random codes, denoted by  $\bar{P}_e = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{M}|} \bar{P}_e(m)$
- Given the symmetry (random codewords),  $\bar{P}_e = \bar{P}_e(m)$  for any  $m \in \mathcal{M}$
- Averaged over the random code ensemble, we have that

$$\begin{split} \bar{P}_{e}(\mathsf{m}) &= \mathbb{E}[\Pr\left\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{Y}) \neq \mathsf{m} | \boldsymbol{X}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{Y}\right\}] \\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}) P_{\boldsymbol{Y} | \boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y} | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}) \Pr\left\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) \neq \mathsf{m} | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\right\} \end{split}$$

where  $\Pr \{\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \neq m | \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}\}$  is the probability that, for a channel output  $\mathbf{y}$ , the decoder  $\varphi$  selects a codeword other than the transmitted  $\mathbf{x}_m$ 

### Achievability Proof

• Using the union bound over all possible codewords, and for all 0  $\leq \rho \leq$  1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) \neq \mathsf{m} | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\right\} &\leq \Pr\left\{\bigcup_{\mathsf{m}' \neq \mathsf{m}} \{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}' | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\}\right\} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\mathsf{m}' \neq \mathsf{m}} \Pr\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}' | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\}\right)^{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

 The pairwise error probability Pr{φ(y) = m'|x<sub>m</sub>, y} of wrongly selecting message m' when message m has been transmitted and sequence y has been received is

$$\Pr\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}' | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'} : P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}) \ge P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}})} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'})$$

• Since  $P_{Y|X}(y|x_{m'}) \ge P_{Y|X}(y|x_m)$  and the sum over all  $x_{m'}$  upper bounds the sum over the set  $\{x_{m'} : P_{Y|X}(y|x_{m'}) \ge P_{Y|X}(y|x_m)\}$ , for any s > 0, the above pairwise error probability can bounded by

$$\mathsf{Pr}\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}'|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\} \leq \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}) \left(\frac{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'})}{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}})}\right)^{s}$$

### Achievability Proof

• Using the union bound over all possible codewords, and for all 0  $\leq \rho \leq$  1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) \neq \mathsf{m} | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\right\} &\leq \Pr\left\{\bigcup_{\mathsf{m}' \neq \mathsf{m}} \{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}' | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\}\right\} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\mathsf{m}' \neq \mathsf{m}} \Pr\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}' | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\}\right)^{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

 The pairwise error probability Pr{φ(y) = m' | x<sub>m</sub>, y} of wrongly selecting message m' when message m has been transmitted and sequence y has been received is

$$\Pr\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}' | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'} : P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}) \ge P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}})} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'})$$

• Since  $P_{Y|X}(y|x_{m'}) \ge P_{Y|X}(y|x_m)$  and the sum over all  $x_{m'}$  upper bounds the sum over the set  $\{x_{m'} : P_{Y|X}(y|x_{m'}) \ge P_{Y|X}(y|x_m)\}$ , for any s > 0, the above pairwise error probability can bounded by

$$\Pr\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}' | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\} \leq \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}) \left(\frac{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'})}{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}})}\right)^{s}$$

### Achievability Proof

• Using the union bound over all possible codewords, and for all 0  $\leq \rho \leq$  1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) \neq \mathsf{m} | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\right\} &\leq \Pr\left\{\bigcup_{\mathsf{m}' \neq \mathsf{m}} \{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}' | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\}\right\} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\mathsf{m}' \neq \mathsf{m}} \Pr\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}' | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\}\right)^{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

 The pairwise error probability Pr{φ(y) = m' | x<sub>m</sub>, y} of wrongly selecting message m' when message m has been transmitted and sequence y has been received is

$$\Pr\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}' | \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'} : P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}) \ge P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}})} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'})$$

• Since  $P_{Y|X}(y|x_{m'}) \ge P_{Y|X}(y|x_m)$  and the sum over all  $x_{m'}$  upper bounds the sum over the set  $\{x_{m'} : P_{Y|X}(y|x_{m'}) \ge P_{Y|X}(y|x_m)\}$ , for any s > 0, the above pairwise error probability can bounded by

$$\mathsf{Pr}\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathsf{m}'|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\} \leq \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}) \left(\frac{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}})}{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}})}\right)^{s}$$

#### Achievability Proof

• As m' is a dummy variable, for any s > 0 and  $0 \le \rho \le 1$  it holds that

$$\Pr\left\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) \neq \mathsf{m}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\right\} \leq \left(\left(|\mathcal{M}|-1\right) \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}) \left(\frac{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'})}{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}})}\right)^{s}\right)^{s}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq \left(|\mathcal{M}|-1\right)^{\rho} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{m'}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{m'}) \left(\frac{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{m'})}{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}_{m})}\right)^{s}\right)\right]$$

- It can be shown (see Gallager 1968) that  $s = \frac{1}{1+\rho}$  actually minimises the bound
- Now, for memoryless channels and input distributions  $P_X(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_X(x_i)$  we obtain a single-letter characterisation

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq \left(|\mathcal{M}|-1\right)^{\rho} \left( \mathbb{E}\left[ \left( \sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \left( \frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}} \right)^{\rho} \right] \right)$$

#### Achievability Proof

• As m' is a dummy variable, for any s > 0 and  $0 \le \rho \le 1$  it holds that

$$\Pr\left\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) \neq \boldsymbol{m} | \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\right\} \leq \left( \left( |\mathcal{M}| - 1 \right) \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}'}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}'}) \left( \frac{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}'})}{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}})} \right)^{s} \right)^{t}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq \left(|\mathcal{M}|-1\right)^{\rho} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}) \left(\frac{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'})}{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathsf{m}})}\right)^{s}\right)^{\rho}$$

• It can be shown (see Gallager 1968) that  $s = \frac{1}{1+\rho}$  actually minimises the bound

• Now, for memoryless channels and input distributions  $P_X(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_X(x_i)$  we obtain a single-letter characterisation

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq \left(|\mathcal{M}|-1\right)^{\rho} \left( \mathbb{E}\left[ \left( \sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \left( \frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}} \right)^{\rho} \right] \right)$$

### Achievability Proof

• As m' is a dummy variable, for any s > 0 and  $0 \le \rho \le 1$  it holds that

$$\Pr\left\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) \neq \boldsymbol{m} | \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\right\} \leq \left( \left( |\mathcal{M}| - 1 \right) \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}'}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}'}) \left( \frac{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}'})}{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}})} \right)^{s} \right)^{t}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq \left(|\mathcal{M}|-1\right)^{\rho} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'}) \left(\frac{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{m}'})}{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathsf{m}})}\right)^{s}\right)^{\rho}\right]$$

- It can be shown (see Gallager 1968) that  $s = \frac{1}{1+\rho}$  actually minimises the bound
- Now, for memoryless channels and input distributions  $P_X(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_X(x_i)$  we obtain a single-letter characterisation

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq \left(|\mathcal{M}|-1\right)^{\rho} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \left(\frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}\right)^{\rho}\right]\right)$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

### Achievability Proof

• As m' is a dummy variable, for any s > 0 and  $0 \le \rho \le 1$  it holds that

$$\Pr\left\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) \neq \boldsymbol{m} | \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{y}\right\} \leq \left( \left( |\mathcal{M}| - 1 \right) \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}'}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}'}) \left( \frac{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}'})}{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}})} \right)^{s} \right)^{p}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq \left(|\mathcal{M}|-1\right)^{\rho} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{m'}} P_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{m'}) \left(\frac{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{x}_{m'})}{P_{\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}_{m})}\right)^{s}\right)^{\rho}\right]$$

- It can be shown (see Gallager 1968) that  $s = \frac{1}{1+\rho}$  actually minimises the bound
- Now, for memoryless channels and input distributions  $P_X(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_X(x_i)$  we obtain a single-letter characterisation

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq \left(|\mathcal{M}|-1\right)^{\rho} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \left(\frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}\right)^{\rho}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

#### Achievability Proof

• Hence, since  $|\mathcal{M}| = 2^{nR}$  for any input distribution  $P_X(x)$ , and  $0 \le \rho \le 1$ ,

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq 2^{-n(E_{0}(\rho)-\rho R)} \quad \text{with} \quad E_{0}(\rho) \triangleq -\log_{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \left(\frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}\right)^{\rho}\right]$$

- $E_0(\rho)$  is called the Gallager function. The expectation is carried out according to the joint distribution  $P_{X,Y}(x, y) = P_{Y|X}(y|x)P_X(x)$ .
- We define the *random coding exponent* as

$$E_r(R) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \max_{0 \leq \rho \leq 1} (E_0(\rho) - \rho R).$$

Hence, the tightest error probability bound is obtained as

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq 2^{-nE_{r}(F)}$$

• The average error probability goes to zero for increasing *n* when

$$\Xi_0(
ho) > 
ho R$$

(D) (A) (A) (A)

#### Achievability Proof

• Hence, since  $|\mathcal{M}| = 2^{nR}$  for any input distribution  $P_X(x)$ , and  $0 \le \rho \le 1$ ,

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq 2^{-n(E_{0}(\rho)-\rho R)} \quad \text{with} \quad E_{0}(\rho) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} -\log_{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \left(\frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}\right)^{p}\right]$$

- *E*<sub>0</sub>(*ρ*) is called the Gallager function. The expectation is carried out according to the joint distribution *P*<sub>X,Y</sub>(x, y) = *P*<sub>Y|X</sub>(y|x)*P*<sub>X</sub>(x).
- We define the *random coding exponent* as

$$E_r(R) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \max_{0 \leq \rho \leq 1} (E_0(\rho) - \rho R).$$

Hence, the tightest error probability bound is obtained as

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq 2^{-nE_{r}(F)}$$

• The average error probability goes to zero for increasing *n* when

$$\Xi_0(
ho) > 
ho R$$

#### Achievability Proof

• Hence, since  $|\mathcal{M}| = 2^{nR}$  for any input distribution  $P_X(x)$ , and  $0 \le \rho \le 1$ ,

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq 2^{-n(E_{0}(\rho)-\rho R)} \quad \text{with} \quad E_{0}(\rho) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} -\log_{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \left(\frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}\right)^{\rho}\right]$$

- *E*<sub>0</sub>(*ρ*) is called the Gallager function. The expectation is carried out according to the joint distribution *P*<sub>X,Y</sub>(*x*, *y*) = *P*<sub>Y|X</sub>(*y*|*x*)*P*<sub>X</sub>(*x*).
- We define the random coding exponent as

$$E_r(R) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \max_{0 \leq \rho \leq 1} (E_0(\rho) - \rho R).$$

Hence, the tightest error probability bound is obtained as

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq 2^{-nE_{r}}$$

• The average error probability goes to zero for increasing *n* when

$$\Xi_0(
ho) > 
ho R$$

#### Achievability Proof

• Hence, since  $|\mathcal{M}| = 2^{nR}$  for any input distribution  $P_X(x)$ , and  $0 \le \rho \le 1$ ,

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq 2^{-n(E_{0}(\rho)-\rho R)} \quad \text{with} \quad E_{0}(\rho) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} -\log_{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \left(\frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}\right)^{\nu}\right]$$

- *E*<sub>0</sub>(*ρ*) is called the Gallager function. The expectation is carried out according to the joint distribution *P*<sub>X,Y</sub>(x, y) = *P*<sub>Y|X</sub>(y|x)*P*<sub>X</sub>(x).
- We define the random coding exponent as

$$E_r(R) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \max_{0 \leq \rho \leq 1} (E_0(\rho) - \rho R).$$

Hence, the tightest error probability bound is obtained as

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq 2^{-nE_{r}(R)}$$

The average error probability goes to zero for increasing n when

$$\Xi_0(
ho) > 
ho R$$

#### Achievability Proof

• Hence, since  $|\mathcal{M}| = 2^{nR}$  for any input distribution  $P_X(x)$ , and  $0 \le \rho \le 1$ ,

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq 2^{-n(E_{0}(\rho)-\rho R)} \quad \text{with} \quad E_{0}(\rho) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} -\log_{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \left(\frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}\right)^{\rho}\right]$$

- *E*<sub>0</sub>(*ρ*) is called the Gallager function. The expectation is carried out according to the joint distribution *P*<sub>X,Y</sub>(x, y) = *P*<sub>Y|X</sub>(y|x)*P*<sub>X</sub>(x).
- We define the random coding exponent as

$$E_r(R) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \max_{0 \leq \rho \leq 1} (E_0(\rho) - \rho R).$$

Hence, the tightest error probability bound is obtained as

$$\bar{P}_{e} \leq 2^{-nE_{r}(F)}$$

• The average error probability goes to zero for increasing *n* when

$$E_0(
ho) > 
ho R$$

### Achievability Proof

• Using that  $E_0(0) = 0$  we see that

$$\frac{dE_{0}(\rho)}{d\rho}\bigg|_{\rho=0} = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{E_{0}(\rho)}{\rho} = -\mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2}\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2} \frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}{\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2} \frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}{P_{Y}(Y)}\right] = I(X; Y)$$

• Actually,  $0 < \frac{dE_0(\rho)}{d\rho} \le I(X; Y)$  with equality iff  $\rho = 0$ . Also,  $\frac{d^2E_0(\rho)}{d\rho^2} \le 0$  for  $\rho \ge 0$ 

- Then E<sub>0</sub>(ρ) is an *increasing* function of ρ ≥ 0 and its maximum slope is at ρ = 0, given by I(X; Y)
- It follows that the function  $g(\rho) = E_0(\rho) \rho R$  has a maximum in [0, 1] if

$$\frac{dE_0(\rho)}{d\rho} - R = 0$$

has a solution in [0, 1]. Otherwise, the maximum is achieved by  $\rho = 1$  for R < I(X; Y) or  $\rho = 0$  for  $R \ge I(X; Y)$ 

・ロン ・四 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

### Achievability Proof

• Using that  $E_0(0) = 0$  we see that

$$\frac{dE_{0}(\rho)}{d\rho}\bigg|_{\rho=0} = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{E_{0}(\rho)}{\rho} = -\mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2}\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2}\frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}{\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2}\frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}{P_{Y}(Y)}\right] = I(X; Y)$$

• Actually,  $0 < \frac{dE_0(\rho)}{d\rho} \le I(X; Y)$  with equality iff  $\rho = 0$ . Also,  $\frac{d^2E_0(\rho)}{d\rho^2} \le 0$  for  $\rho \ge 0$ 

- Then E<sub>0</sub>(ρ) is an *increasing* function of ρ ≥ 0 and its maximum slope is at ρ = 0, given by I(X; Y)
- It follows that the function  $g(\rho) = E_0(\rho) \rho R$  has a maximum in [0, 1] if

$$\frac{dE_0(\rho)}{d\rho} - R = 0$$

has a solution in [0, 1]. Otherwise, the maximum is achieved by  $\rho = 1$  for R < I(X; Y) or  $\rho = 0$  for  $R \ge I(X; Y)$ 

### Achievability Proof

• Using that  $E_0(0) = 0$  we see that

$$\frac{dE_{0}(\rho)}{d\rho}\bigg|_{\rho=0} = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{E_{0}(\rho)}{\rho} = -\mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2}\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2}\frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}{\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2}\frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}{P_{Y}(Y)}\right] = I(X; Y)$$

• Actually,  $0 < \frac{dE_0(\rho)}{d\rho} \le I(X; Y)$  with equality iff  $\rho = 0$ . Also,  $\frac{d^2E_0(\rho)}{d\rho^2} \le 0$  for  $\rho \ge 0$ 

- Then  $E_0(\rho)$  is an *increasing* function of  $\rho \ge 0$  and its maximum slope is at  $\rho = 0$ , given by I(X; Y)
- It follows that the function  $g(\rho) = E_0(\rho) \rho R$  has a maximum in [0, 1] if

$$\frac{dE_0(\rho)}{d\rho} - R = 0$$

has a solution in [0, 1]. Otherwise, the maximum is achieved by  $\rho = 1$  for R < I(X; Y) or  $\rho = 0$  for  $R \ge I(X; Y)$ 

### Achievability Proof

• Using that  $E_0(0) = 0$  we see that

$$\frac{dE_{0}(\rho)}{d\rho}\bigg|_{\rho=0} = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{E_{0}(\rho)}{\rho} = -\mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2}\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') \frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2} \frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}{\sum_{x'} P_{X}(x') P_{Y|X}(Y|x')}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\log_{2} \frac{P_{Y|X}(Y|X)}{P_{Y}(Y)}\right] = I(X; Y)$$

• Actually,  $0 < \frac{dE_0(\rho)}{d\rho} \le I(X; Y)$  with equality iff  $\rho = 0$ . Also,  $\frac{d^2E_0(\rho)}{d\rho^2} \le 0$  for  $\rho \ge 0$ 

- Then E<sub>0</sub>(ρ) is an *increasing* function of ρ ≥ 0 and its maximum slope is at ρ = 0, given by I(X; Y)
- It follows that the function g(ρ) = E<sub>0</sub>(ρ) − ρR has a maximum in [0, 1] if

$$\frac{dE_0(\rho)}{d\rho} - R = 0$$

has a solution in [0, 1]. Otherwise, the maximum is achieved by  $\rho = 1$  for R < I(X; Y) or  $\rho = 0$  for  $R \ge I(X; Y)$ 

### Achievability Proof $R < C, P_e \rightarrow 0$

- Since  $\bar{P}_e \leq 2^{-nE_r(R)}$ , then there must exist codes for which  $P_e \leq 2^{-nE_r(R)}$
- Finally, since so far  $P_X(X)$  was fixed, we can maximise over the input distribution to obtain the tightest bound and prove the achievability part of Shannon's theorem, i.e., rates R < C are achievable.



© Jossy Sayir (CUED)

## **Channel Capacity Theorem**

#### Summary

We have proved that the channel capacity is

$$C = \max_{p_X(X)} I(X; Y)$$

Achievability For every rate R < C there exists a codebook C for which the probability of error tends to zero as  $n \to \infty$ .

Converse The probability of error satisfies

$$P_e \geq 1 - rac{C}{R} - rac{1}{nR}$$

Thus, if R > C then the probability of error does not tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Summary The channel capacity is the fundamental limit of information transmission.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))