A Refinement of Expurgation

Giuseppe Cocco^(D), Senior Member, IEEE, Albert Guillén i Fàbregas^(D), Fellow, IEEE, and Josep Font-Segura^(D), Member, IEEE

Abstract— We show that for a wide range of channels and code ensembles with pairwise-independent codewords, with probability tending to 1 with the code length, expurgating an arbitrarily small fraction of codewords from a randomly selected code results in a code attaining the expurgated exponent.

Index Terms—Reliable communications, error exponents, expurgated error exponent, random code ensembles, random coding.

I. INTRODUCTION

WE CONSIDER the problem of reliable communication \mathbf{V} of M_n equiprobable messages over noisy channels described by a random transformation $W^n(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x})$, where $oldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n$ and $oldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$ are the channel input and output sequences, and \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are the input and output alphabets, respectively. Each message $m \in \{1, \ldots, M_n\}$, where $M_n = \lceil 2^{nR} \rceil$, R being the code rate, is mapped onto an n-length codeword \boldsymbol{x}_m sent over the channel. The code is defined as $\mathcal{C}(M_n, n) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{M_n}\}$. We denote with $P_{e,m}(\mathcal{C}(M_n, n))$ the error probability when codeword $m \in \{1, \ldots, M_n\}$ from code $\mathcal{C}(M_n, n)$ is transmitted; similarly $P_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathcal{C}(M_n, n)) = \frac{1}{M_n} \sum_{m=1}^{M_n} P_{\mathbf{e},m}(\mathcal{C}(M_n, n))$ denotes the average error probability of the code. Let $C(M_n, n) =$ $\{X_1,\ldots,X_{M_n}\}$ be a random code, i.e., a set of M_n random codewords generated with probability $\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{C}(M_n, n)] =$ $\mathcal{C}(M_n, n)] = \mathbb{P}[\boldsymbol{X}_1 = \boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{X}_{M_n} = \boldsymbol{x}_{M_n}].$ We assume that codewords are generated in a pairwise independent manner, that is, for any two indices $m, k \in \{1, \ldots, M_n\}, m \neq k$, it holds that $\mathbb{P}[\boldsymbol{X}_m = \boldsymbol{x}_m, \boldsymbol{X}_k = \boldsymbol{x}_k] = Q^n(\boldsymbol{x}_m)Q^n(\boldsymbol{x}_k),$ where $Q^n(\boldsymbol{x}_m) = \mathbb{P}[\boldsymbol{X}_m = \boldsymbol{x}_m]$ is a probability distribution defined over \mathcal{X}^n .

Let $P_{e,m}(C(M_n, n))$ and $P_e(C(M_n, n))$ be the random variables denoting the error probability of the *m*-th

Received 24 June 2023; revised 15 November 2023; accepted 29 February 2024. Date of publication 17 April 2024; date of current version 22 November 2024. This work was supported in part by the Ramon y Cajal Fellowship Program funded by Spanish Government through the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (MCIN)/Agencia Estatal de Investigacion (AEI)/10.13039/501100011033 and European Union "NextGenerationEU" Recovery Plan under Grant RYC2021-033908-I, in part by European Research Council under ERC Grant Agreement 725441 and Agreement 101142747, and in part by Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under Grant PID2020-116683GB-C22. (*Corresponding author: Giuseppe Cocco.*)

Giuseppe Cocco is with the Department of Signal Theory and Communications, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, 08034 Barcelona, Spain (e-mail: giuseppe.cocco@upc.edu).

Albert Guillén i Fàbregas is with the Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, CB2 1PZ Cambridge, U.K., and also with the Department of Signal Theory and Communications, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, 08034 Barcelona, Spain (e-mail: guillen@ieee.org).

Josep Font-Segura is with the Department of Information and Communication Technologies, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, 08018 Barcelona, Spain (e-mail: josep.font@ieee.org).

Communicated by V. Kostina, Associate Editor for Communications. Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TIT.2024.3388563 codeword for random code $C(M_n, n)$ and the average error probability of the code, respectively. We denote the *n*-length error exponents of such random variables by $E_m(C(M_n, n)) = -\frac{1}{n}\log P_{e,m}(C(M_n, n))$ and $E(C(M_n, n)) = -\frac{1}{n}\log P_e(C(M_n, n))$, respectively. For some ensembles and channels the ensemble-average of the code error probability $\mathbb{E}[P_e(C(M_n, n))]$ is known to decay exponentially in *n* [1]. A lower bound on the error exponent $-\frac{1}{n}\log \mathbb{E}[P_e(C(M_n, n))]$ is given by Gallager's multi-letter random coding exponent $E_r^n(R, Q^n)$ in [2, Eq. (5.6.16)]. For the discrete memoryless channel (DMC), this bound is known to coincide with the sphere-packing upper bound on the reliability function [3], [4] in the high rate region.

In [5, Sec. 5.7] Gallager showed that, for some channels and ensembles, there exists a code with strictly higher error exponent than $E_r^n(R, Q^n)$ at low rates. In order to show this, Gallager considered a pairwise-independent ensemble with $M'_n = 2M_n - 1$ codewords. Using Markov's inequality he showed that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[P_{\mathbf{e},m}(\mathsf{C}(M'_n,n)) \ge 2^{\frac{1}{s}} \mathbb{E}[P_{\mathbf{e},m}(\mathsf{C}(M'_n,n))^s]^{\frac{1}{s}}\Big] \le \frac{1}{2}$$
(1)

for any s > 0. He then introduced the indicator function

$$\varphi_m(\mathcal{C}(M_n, n)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P_{e,m}(\mathcal{C}(M_n, n)) < 2^{\frac{1}{s}} \mathbb{E} \left[P_{e,m}(\mathsf{C}(M_n, n))^s \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2)

and showed that, using (1) and (2), the following inequality holds

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M'_n} \varphi_m(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n))\right] \ge M_n. \tag{3}$$

From (3) it follows that, since the average number of codewords that have a probability of error smaller than $2^{\frac{1}{s}}\mathbb{E}\left[P_{e,m}\left(\mathcal{C}(M'_n,n)\right)^s\right]^{\frac{1}{s}}$ in a randomly generated code with $M'_n = 2M_n - 1$ codewords is at least M_n , there must exist a code having at least M_n codewords, out of the M'_n , fulfilling this property. Thus, by removing (expurgating) the worst half of the codewords from the code with M'_n codewords we obtain a new code with M_n codewords, each of which satisfies the condition in the first line of the right-hand side in (2). Finally, restricting s to $0 < s \le 1$, Gallager derives an upper bound on the exponent of $2^{\frac{1}{s}}\mathbb{E}[P_{e,m}\left(\mathcal{C}(M'_n,n)\right)^s]^{\frac{1}{s}}$, given by

$$E_{\rm ex}^n(R,Q^n) = E_{\rm x}^n(\hat{\rho}_n,Q^n) - \hat{\rho}_n R, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$E_{\mathbf{x}}^{n}(\rho, Q^{n}) = -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}'} Q^{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) Q^{n}(\boldsymbol{x}') Z_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right)^{\rho},$$
(5)

© 2024 The Authors. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

$$\hat{\rho}_n = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\rho \ge 1} \left\{ E_{\mathbf{x}}^n(\rho, Q^n) - \rho R \right\}$$
(6)

is the parameter that yields the highest exponent. The preceding argument is valid for the maximal probability of error, since every codeword in the expurgated code attains the same exponent. In addition, observe that since (3) uses the standard ensemble-average argument (i.e. by taking the average over the ensemble) we show the existence of a code with the desired property. The exponent in (4) is the expurgated exponent. We refer to the code with M'_n codewords before expurgation as a mother code. We say that a mother code is good if, once expurgated, we obtain a code with asymptotically the same rate, the codewords of which each have an exponent at least as large as the expurgated.

A refinement of the above follows from (1). Specifically, for $\epsilon > 0$ it can be shown that there exists a code with $M'_n = M_n(1 + \epsilon)$ codewords such that removing ϵM_n codewords yields a code that attains the expurgated exponent [6, Lemma 1]. Although [6, Lemma 1] generalizes Gallager's method, it still only shows the existence of a code that attains the expurgated exponent.

II. MAIN RESULT

This paper strengthens existing results on expurgation by showing that the probability of finding a code with $M'_n = (1 + \epsilon)M_n$ codewords that contains a code with at least M_n codewords each of which achieving the expurgated exponent tends to 1 with the code length. We define the sequence $\delta_n = \frac{\hat{\rho}_n}{n} \log \gamma_n$, where γ_n is such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$ while $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \gamma_n}{n} = 0$, $\hat{\rho}_n$ being a positive sequence defined in (6) that depends on the channel, the ensemble and the rate. From the definition of δ_n it can be seen that if $\hat{\rho}_n$ either converges to a constant or grows sufficiently slowly, there exists a γ_n such that $\delta_n \to 0$. Similarly to Gallager, for a given δ_n , we define the indicator function

$$\phi_m(\mathcal{C}(M_n, n)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E_m(\mathcal{C}(M_n, n)) > E_{\text{ex}}^n(R, Q^n) - \delta_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(7)

and the number of codewords attaining an exponent higher than $E_{\text{ex}}^n(R,Q^n) - \delta_n$ as

$$\Phi(\mathcal{C}(M'_n, n)) = \sum_{m=1}^{M'_n} \phi_m(\mathcal{C}(M'_n, n)).$$
(8)

Theorem 1: Consider a pairwise-independent code ensemble with $M'_n = M_n(1 + \epsilon)$ codewords and any $\epsilon > 0$. If the sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, which depends on the channel and the ensemble, satisfies $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_n = 0$, then for any $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon$, it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\big[\Phi\big(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n)\big) \ge M_n(1 + \epsilon_1)\big] = 1.$$
(9)

Proof: See Section III.

In words, with high probability we find a mother code with $M'_n = (1 + \epsilon)M_n$ codewords, M_n of which attain

the expurgated exponent. That is, good mother codes are found easily and only contain an arbitrarily small fraction $\epsilon/(1+\epsilon)$ of codewords that need to be expurgated. Theorem 1 extends Gallager's method, and applies, among others, to independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.) and constant composition codes over DMCs, as well as channels with memory such as the finite-state channel in [2, Sec. 4.6], for which the expurgated exponent is derived in [7].

As a final remark, recent works [7], [8], [9], [10] show that for many ensembles, most low-rate codes have an error exponent $E(\mathsf{C}(M_n, n))$ that is strictly larger than the exponent of the ensemble average error probability, i.e., the random coding exponent. Similarly, Theorem 1 implies that for most codes, almost any codeword has an associated error exponent $E_m(\mathsf{C}(M_n, n))$ that is strictly larger than the ensemble average of the exponent of the error probability of the codebook $\mathbb{E}[E(\mathsf{C}(M_n, n))]$. In both cases the smaller error exponent of the average probability of error is due to a relatively small number of elements (codes in the first case, codewords in the second) that perform poorly. Furthermore, as shown in [9] and [10] for i.i.d. and constant composition codes over DMC, the error exponents of the codes in the ensemble concentrate around the typical random coding (TRC) exponent [8], [11]. Similarly to such works, it can be shown that the error exponent $E_m(\mathsf{C}(M_n, n))$, for any m, concentrates around its mean, the expurgated exponent. The proof makes use of Lemma 1 in Section III, and follows almost identical steps as in [10, Theorem 1], [7, Theorem 1] and [7, Theorem 2] once $P_{e}(\mathcal{C})$ is replaced by $P_{e,m}(\mathcal{C})$ and it is omitted here.

III. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We start with the following lemma, whose proof is almost identical to that of [7, Lemma 1].

Lemma 1: For a channel W^n and a pairwise-independent M'_n -codewords code ensemble with codeword distribution Q^n , for any $m \in \{1, \ldots, M'_n\}$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}\big[E_m\big(\mathsf{C}(M'_n,n)\big) > E^n_{\mathrm{ex}}(R,Q^n) - \delta_n\big] \ge 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma_n}, \quad (10)$$

where γ_n and δ_n are positive real-valued sequences.

The proof of Lemma 1 follows from Markov's inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[P_{\mathbf{e},m}(\mathsf{C}_n) \ge \gamma_n^{\frac{1}{s}} \mathbb{E}[P_{\mathbf{e},m}(\mathsf{C}_n)^s]^{\frac{1}{s}}\Big] \le \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \tag{11}$$

and applying the same steps as in [7, Theorem 1] once $P_{e}(C_{n})$ is replaced with $P_{e,m}(C_{n})$. The sequences γ_{n} and δ_{n} are the same as those introduced in Section II. Observe that using inequality (11) and following similar steps as in [7] it can be shown that $\lim_{n\to\infty} E_{ex}^{n}(R,Q^{n})$ is a lower bound on $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[E_{m}(C(M_{n},n))]$. Furthermore, using similar arguments as in [10] it can be shown that such bound is tight at least for i.i.d. and constant composition codes over DMC. That is, for such ensembles and channels $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{1}{n}\log P_{e,m}(C(M_{n},n))\right] = \lim_{n\to\infty} E_{ex}^{n}(R,Q^{n})$, i.e., the expurgated is the typical codeword exponent.

If the positive sequence $\hat{\rho}_n$, defined in (6), converges or grows sufficiently slowly, then there exists a sequence γ_n such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \gamma_n}{n} = 0$, for which $\delta_n = \frac{\hat{\rho}_n}{n} \log \gamma_n \to 0$. For rate zero, that is when $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log M_n = 0$, the *n*-length error exponent in (4) depends on the particular subexponential growth of M_n , while $\hat{\rho}_n$ tends to infinity with a growth that depends on the channel and the ensemble. In this case, as discussed in the paragraph succeeding [7, Eq. (89)], the assumption that $\frac{\hat{\rho}_n}{n} \log \gamma_n \to 0$ holds if the normalized variance of the Bhattacharyya coefficient $Z_n(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')$ grows slower than $\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log \gamma_n}}$. In any case, choosing such γ_n and applying Lemma 1 we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[E_m(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n)) > E^n_{\mathrm{ex}}(R, Q^n) - \delta_n\right] \ge 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma_n}.$$
 (12)

The random variable $\Phi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n,n))$, averaged across the ensemble, satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n))] = \sum_{m=1}^{M_n(1+\epsilon)} \mathbb{E}[\phi_m(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n))]$$
(13)

$$\geq \sum_{m=1}^{M_n(1+\epsilon)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma_n}\right) \tag{14}$$

$$= M_n(1+\epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma_n}\right), \tag{15}$$

where (14) follows from the definition of the indicator function (7) and (12).

We define $\Psi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n)) = M'_n - \Phi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n))$, which is the number of codewords with exponent smaller than $E^n_{\mathrm{ex}}(R, Q^n) - \delta_n$. From (15) it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Psi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n))] \le \frac{M_n(1+\epsilon)}{\gamma_n}.$$
(16)

Then, for sufficiently large n we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\Psi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n)) > \frac{M_n(1+\epsilon)}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}}\Big] \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}}, \qquad (17)$$

where (17) follows from Markov's inequality and (16). This shows that the probability of finding a code with many codewords with exponent strictly smaller than $E_{\text{ex}}^n(R,Q^n) - \delta_n$ vanishes with n. To prove our main result, we write the tail probability in (9) as

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\Phi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n)) \ge M_n(1 + \epsilon_1)\Big]$$

= 1 - $\mathbb{P}\Big[\Phi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n)) < M_n(1 + \epsilon_1)\Big]$ (18)

$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}\Big[\Psi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n)) > M_n(\epsilon - \epsilon_1)\Big],$$
(19)

where we used the definitions of $\Psi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n))$ and M'_n . Since γ_n tends to infinity, there must exist an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\epsilon - \epsilon_1 > \frac{(1+\epsilon)}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}}$ for $n > n_0$ and therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\Big[\Phi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n)) \ge M_n(1 + \epsilon_1)\Big]$$

$$\ge \lim_{n \to \infty} 1 - \mathbb{P}\Big[\Psi(\mathsf{C}(M'_n, n)) > \frac{M_n(1 + \epsilon)}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}}\Big]$$
(20)

$$\geq \lim_{n \to \infty} 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}},\tag{21}$$

where (21) follows from (17). Finally, solving the limit yields the desired result.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for suggesting a shorter proof of the main result.

REFERENCES

- A. Feinstein, "Error bounds in noisy channels without memory," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-1, no. 2, pp. 13–14, Sep. 1955.
- [2] R. Gallager, Information Theory and Reliable Communication. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 1968.
- [3] C. E. Shannon, R. G. Gallager, and E. R. Berlekamp, "Lower bounds to error probability for coding on discrete memoryless channels. I," *Inf. Control*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 65–103, Jan. 1967. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019995867900526
- [4] R. Fano, Transmission of Information: A Statistical Theory of Communication. Cambridge, MA, USA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press, 1961.
- [5] R. Gallager, "A simple derivation of the coding theorem and some applications," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-11, no. 1, pp. 3–18, Jan. 1965.
- [6] J. Scarlett, L. Peng, N. Merhav, A. Martinez, and A. G. I. Fàbregas, "Expurgated random-coding ensembles: Exponents, refinements, and connections," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 8, pp. 4449–4462, Aug. 2014.
- [7] G. Cocco, A. G. I. Fàbregas, and J. Font-Segura, "Typical error exponents: A dual domain derivation," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 776–793, Feb. 2023.
- [8] N. Merhav, "Error exponents of typical random codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 6223–6235, Sep. 2018.
- [9] R. Tamir, N. Merhav, N. Weinberger, and A. G. I. Fàbregas, "Large deviations behavior of the logarithmic error probability of random codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 6635–6659, Nov. 2020.
- [10] L. V. Truong, G. Cocco, J. Font-Segura, and A. G. I. Fàbregas, "Concentration properties of random codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 69, no. 12, pp. 7499–7537, Dec. 2023.
- [11] A. Barg and G. D. Forney, "Random codes: Minimum distances and error exponents," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 2568–2573, Sep. 2002.

Giuseppe Cocco (Senior Member, IEEE) received the M.S. degree (Hons.) in telecommunications engineering from Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy, in 2008, and the Ph.D. degree in telecommunications engineering from Universitat Politècnica de Catalonia (UPC), Barcelona, Spain, in 2013.

In 2009, he was awarded the Networking/Partnering Initiative Grant (Ph.D. co-funding) by European Space Agency (ESA), Noordwijk, The Netherlands. His Ph.D. studies were co-funded by ESA and the Centre Tecnològic de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya (CTTC), Barcelona. From 2010 to 2011, he spent one year as a Visiting Ph.D. Candidate with European Space Research and Technology Centre (ESTEC), ESA. From 2014 to 2017, he was with the Institute of Communications and Navigation, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Weßling, Germany, where he was a Senior Researcher and the Project Manager. In 2017, he was awarded a Marie Skłodowska Curie Individual Fellowship Grant by the European Commission. From 2017 to 2019, he was with the Laboratory of Intelligent Systems (robotics) and the LTS4 Signal Processing Laboratory, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland. In 2019, he was awarded the Beatriu de Pinós Fellowship by the Catalan Government and joined the Information Theory and Coding Group, Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona. Since 2023, he has been a Ramon y Cajal Assistant Professor (Lecturer) with UPC. His research interests include information theory, quantum information, and satellite communications.

Albert Guillén i Fàbregas (Fellow, IEEE) received the Telecommunications Engineering degree from Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, the Electronics Engineering degree from Politecnico di Torino in 1999, and the Ph.D. degree in communication systems from École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) in 2004.

He is currently a Professor with the Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, and a part-time Researcher at Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya. He has held appointments at New Jersey Institute of Technology, Telecom Italia, European Space Agency (ESA), Institut Eurécom, University of South Australia, Universitat Pompeu Fabra (ICREA Research Professor), and the University of Cambridge. He has held visiting appointments at EPFL, École Nationale des Télécommunications (Paris), Universitat Pompeu Fabra, the University of South Australia, Centrum Wiskunde and Informatica, and Texas A&M University at Qatar. His research interests include information theory, communication theory, coding theory, and statistical inference.

Dr. Guillén i Fàbregas is a fellow of the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications (IMA) and a member of the Young Academy of Europe. He received the Starting, Consolidator, Advanced, and Proof of Concept Grants from European Research Council, the Young Authors Award of the 2004 European Signal Processing Conference, the 2004 Best Doctoral Thesis Award from Spanish Institution of Telecommunications Engineers, and the Research Fellowship from Spanish Government to join ESA. Since 2013, he has been an Editor of *Foundations and Trends in Communications and Information Theory* (Now Publishers). He was an Associate Editor of IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY from 2013 to 2020 and IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS from 2007 to 2011. **Josep Font-Segura** (Member, IEEE) received the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, in 2014.

He was a Doctoral Researcher with Columbia University, New York, from 2008 to 2009, and Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, from 2009 to 2014. He was a Post-Doctoral Researcher with Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, from 2014 to 2023, where he has been an Assistant Professor since 2023. His research interests include information theory, mathematical physics, communications, and signal processing.

Dr. Font-Segura was a member of the Organizing Committee of the IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory in 2016. He was a recipient of "La Caixa" Fellowship for graduate studies in the USA, the Best Paper Award at the IEEE International Conference on Communications, and the Juan de la Cierva Post-Doctoral Fellowship from Spanish Government. He was the Vice-Chair of Spanish Chapter of the IEEE Information Theory Society from 2018 to 2019. He contributed as a reviewer in several journals and conference publications of the IEEE Society.